	SECCULAL RECTION COMMISSION
1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL CELECTION COMMISSION
2	2011 MAR -7 PR 2: 46
3	In the Matter of 2011 MAR - 7 P 2: 51
4) CELA
5	MUR 6328) DISMISSAL AND CASE CLOSURE
6	ALLEGRETTI FOR CONGRESS AND) UNDER THE ENFORCEMENT
7	RONALD R. GRAVINO, AS TREASURER) PRIORITY SYSTEM
8	MICHAEL ALLEGRETTI) SENSIT
9	
10	·
11	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
12	Under the Enforcement Priority System ("EPS"), the Commission uses formal scoring
13	criteria to allocate its resources and decide which cases to pursue. These criteria include, but
14	are not limited to, an assessment of (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, both with respect to
• •	and not mile to, and mile the or (1) the among or the broader violation, both with respect to
15	the type of activity and the amount in violation, (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation
16	may have had on the electoral process, (3) the legal complexity of issues raised in the case,
17	(4) recent trends in potential violations of the Act, and (5) development of the law with respect
18	to certain subject matters. It is the Commission's policy that pursuing low-rated matters,
10	
19	compared to other higher-rated matters on the Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its
20	prosecutorial discretion to dismiss certain cases. The Office of General Counsel has scored
20	prosecutorial discretion to distills certain cases. The Ottice of Oelieral Coulise has scored
21	MUR 6328 as a low-rated matter and has also determined that it should not be referred to the

RECEIVED

In this matter, the complainant, Stephen Clinton, alleges that Allegretti for Congress and Ronald R. Gravino, in his official capacity as treasurer ("the Committee"), and Michael Allegretti (collectively "the respondents"), were "in direct violation of the section of election law/regulation" requiring disclaimers on campaign signs, as set forth in 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a) and (b)(1). The complaint includes a photograph of what appears

Alternative Dispute Resolution Office. This Office therefore recommends that the

Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss MUR 6328.

- to be an Allegretti yard sign, the text of which reads: "REPUBLICAN MICHAEL
- 2 ALLEGRETTI FOR CONGRESS," which does not include a disclaimer stating who had paid
- 3 for and authorized the sign.
 - Richard A. Zimmer, the respondents' designated counsel, filed a submission on behalf of the respondents, which included an affidavit from Raymond Riley, the Committee's campaign manager. The response acknowledges that proper disclaimers had been left off a single order of 500 yard signs, but asserts that the omiasion was "a single isolated mistake" by an inexperienced campaign staffer. After the omission was discovered the Committee took remedial action by printing disclaimer stickers containing the phrase "Paid for by Allegretti for Congress, Inc.," which were then affixed to the remaining signs in the Committee's headquarters, as well as to "any surviving lawn signs that [did] not contain the disclaimer." The respondents also state that every other public communication by the campaign included the disclaimer "Paid for by Allegretti for Congress, Inc." Enclosed with the response are photocopies of campaign signs that include the requisite disclaimers.

Political committee campaign materials that require disclaimers include, *inter alia*, yard signs, *see* 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a); *see also* 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a). The respondents have conceded that disclaimers were necessary, and have indicated that the Committee made efforts to remedy the initial absence of disclaimers by ordering new signs containing proper disclaimers and affixing disclaimer stickers to the yard signs at issue.

Although the single order of 500 yard signs lacked the appropriate disclaimers, the scope of the violation appears to be limited to this initial order of yard signs and the omission was corrected once it was brought to the Committee's attention. Accordingly, under EPS, the

According to the Committee's Statement of Organization, its name is "Allegretti for Congress." The abbreviation "Inc." is not included. The Committee does not address this discrepancy.

8

Case Closure under EPS—MUR 6328 General Counsel's Report Page 3

- 1 Office of General Counsel has scored MUR 6328 as a low-rated matter and therefore, in
- 2 furtherance of the Commission's priorities, as discussed above, the Office of General Counsel
- 3 believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this
- 4 matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). Additionally, this Office recommends
- 5 that the Commission remind Allegretti for Congress and Ronald R. Gravino, in his official
- 6 capacity as treasurer, of the requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a)
- 7 and (b)(1), concerning the use of appropriate disclaimers.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 6328, close the file, and approve the appropriate letters. Additionally, this Office recommends that the Commission remind Allegretti for Congress and Ronald R. Gravino, in his official capacity as treasurer, of the requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a) and (b)(1), concerning the use of appropriate disclaimers.

14 Christopher Hughey
15 Acting General Counsel

16 17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

3/7/11 Date

BY:

Gregory K. Baker Special Counsel

Complaints Examination & Legal Administration

Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney
Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration

25 26 27

28 29

30 31

32

Case Closure under EPS—MUR 6328
General Counsel's Report
Page 4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
00
CV
PAGE
COUNSEL'S REPORT
PAGE 4

Donald E. Campbell
Paralegal Specialist